

PRE-CERCLIS SCREENING ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST/DECISION FORM

	st the site investigator during the Pre-CERCLIS screening. It will be used to determine whether re- are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.				
Checklist Preparer	5/10/11				
Checkust I repairer	ROB PARKER SITE ASSESSMENT MANAGER (Name/Title) 1595 WYNKOOP 303 31 (Address) (Phone)	2.7 (alal I)			
	(Address) (Phone)	2 400	27		
•	(Address) FARXEL ROBERT @ EPH. (OU) (Phone)				
Site Name:	(E-Mail Address) SMURFIT STONE MILL				
Previous Names (if	any):				
Site Location:	14377 PULP MILL ROAD				
	(Street) MISSOULA (City) Marsitude: MISSOULA (ST) (ST) (Zip)	9602	_		
F -4*4 J	(City) (ST) (Zip)				
Latitude:	Longitude:				
Complete the follo	owing checklist. If "yes" is marked, please explain below.	YES	NO		
Does the site at	ready appear in CERCLIS?		X		
2. Is the release from products that are part of the structure of, and result in exposure within, residential buildings or businesses or community structures?					
3. Does the site consist of a release of a naturally occurring substance in its unaltered form, or altered solely through naturally occurring processes or phenomena, from a location where it is naturally found?					
4. Is the release in	nto a public or private drinking water supply due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use?		X		
5. Is some other program actively involved with the site (i.e., another Federal, State, or Tribal program)?					
6. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (i.e., petroleum, natural gas, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, release located in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?					
7. Are the hazardo	ous substances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (e.g., deferral to RCRA ion)?		×		
environmental above ARARs	ent documentation that clearly demonstrates that there is no potential for a release that could cause adverse or human health impacts (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent data showing no release, completed removal action, documentation showing that no hazardous substance releases have occurred, risk assessment completed)?		×		
Please explain all "	yes" answer(s), attach additional sheets if necessary:				
FACILITY	HAS HAD MT PDES PERMITS. THERE IS				
	SOTION OF RELEASES OUTSIDE THE SCO	PE of	. =		
THESE					

C:4-	Datas		-4-	
Sife	Deter	mın	ลทถ	n:

Enter the site into CERCLIS. Further assessment is recommended (explain below).

☐ The site is not recommended for placement into CERCLIS (explain below).

n	T.	CI	CI		N	m	TC	C	H	20	10	ìN	/D	A	TI	A	N	A	I	٦.
IJ	L	L.	ы	U	17	Įυ	113		υċ	20	и	JΙΝ	/K	А	ш	v	IN.	AL	LE	

MDEQ REQUESTED PAISI WORK BE PERFORMED.

CONVERSATIONS W/ MDEQ. AND COUNTY

HEALTH OFFICIALS INDICATE THERE IS A CONCERN

OF HISTORIC RELEASES AND RELEASES OUTSIDE

THE SCOPE OF ANY PERMITS.

Regional EPA Reviewer:		5/10/11
	Print Name/Signature	Date
State Agency/Tribe:		
• .	Print Name/Signature	Date